

Minutes
Indian Hills General Improvement District
Board of Trustees Meeting
District Office
3394 James Lee Park Rd. #A
Carson City, NV 89705
March 15, 2023
Regular Board Meeting 6:00 P.M.

Trustees Present: Chairman Dunham, Vice Chairman Stulac, Secretary/Treasurer Lufrano, Trustee Siegman, and Trustee Garcia.

Trustees Present via Zoom Meeting: none.

Trustees Absent: none.

Staff Present: General Manager Chris Johnson and Administrative Services Supervisor/Human Resources Brooke Thompson.

Others Present: District Counsel Chuck Zumpft, District Engineer Tim Russell, Residents Kathryn Clark-Ross, Kathy Waters, Dallas Debatin, Sharon Buckley, Lynn Dement, Brian Patrick, Tammy James, Ronny Lynch, Ed Terlau, Marilyn Foster, Sharon Silvas, Duane and Nikki Schmidt, Linnea Alvord, Deb and Debbie Walker, Gene Robinson, Pat and Jim Rowbottom, Bill and Penni Eisele, April Stevens, Denise Pierini and members of the public Colette Burau, Dani Andrews, Dennis and Doreen Hoffman.

Others Present via Zoom Meeting: none.

6:00 P.M. - Regular Meeting

1. **Call to Order**
Request that Cell Phones and Pagers be turned off for recording purposes.
Chairman Dunham called the meeting to order at 6:06PM.
2. **Pledge of Allegiance:** Led by Chairman Dunham.
3. **Public Interest Comment:** Resident Brian Patrick stated that he lives at 3334 Somerset and at this point in time he is still pumping water out from under his house. Brian stated not on the agenda what he wants to say is that he would like to point out that are five or six streets that are in calamities disrepair at this time. Our policy has been to raise enough money to fix one street at a time. At this point it takes about four years to raise enough money to repair one street. That being said his concern is he is 72 he does not want to wait 15 years for his street to be repaired because he probably won't be here in 15 years. He asked the Board and the Manager to figure out how to get some money to repair these five streets that are in tragic disrepair.

Resident Kathy Waters stated when she first came here, she asked if there was a HOA, then she found out there was a GID. She appreciated what we did last meeting and that was we educated her on what you have been doing, how the GID runs. She has a question about, that might get answered in the future is something called due diligence. Due diligence to her is when she sees a bid come into the board for approval on anything. She has seen it twice is there is only one bid. As a procurement specialist and a former purchasing agent for the Federal Government it was required to obtain three bids, her goal was to obtain all three bids from a local source, if she could not do that she spread out. Kathy stated maybe the board gets bids and information prior to the meeting or maybe it is because it is not in the policies, but she has not seen three bids.

Resident Gene Robinson stated he lives at 966 Sunview. The gentleman that came before him he wants to piggyback a little bit on his comment on the streets. Gene stated that he just drove the entire GID before the meeting and many, many of the streets are completely dilapidated, they are in an untenable condition and looking at minutes from the past meeting there was a lot of commentary about speed bumps, we can all agree about the residential speeding and the new arrivals moving in here has accelerated the speeding problem. If you are contemplating speed bumps in the district he does have a diversion Smoketree, South Sunridge a lot of people would be adverse to implementing speed bumps. There are a lot of elderly folks that live in the GID. A lot of them have had surgeries and they don't need those kinds of impediments like speed bumps that would aggravate their issues. He just wanted to touch on that some of the streets are pretty dilapidated as we all know and he would not be focusing on anything other than that.

Resident Duane Schmidt stated that he lives at 992 Sunview drive he is one of the four houses that backs up to the park and directly behind his fence is dirt, water is always pooling there. When we get a lot of rain the water comes into his yard, and his neighbor just to the east of him and it is quite substantial. Is there something that can be done about that in the near future, so he does not have any more flooding happening. It is like a lake right now.

4. Approval of Agenda

Trustee Garcia motioned to approve the agenda. Secretary/Treasurer Lufrano seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

5. Presentation of Service Awards to Kathryn Clark Ross and Bill Eisele for their years of service to the Indian Hills General Improvement District Board of Trustees.

General Manager Chris Johnson presented Kathryn Clark-Ross and Bill Eisele with service awards for their years of service on the Indian Hills General Improvement District.

Resident Kathryn Clark-Ross thanked General Manager Chris Johnson and the board; it was her pleasure to serve on the board. She did not expect this crowd tonight. Resident Bill Eisele thanked General Manger Chris Johnson.

Trustee Garcia thanked both Kathryn Clark-Ross and Bill Eisele for their time on the Board and applauded them for the service they gave.

Secretary/Treasurer Lufrano thanked both Kathryn Clark-Ross and Bill Eisele for their time on the Board.

Chairman Dunham thanked both Kathryn Clark-Ross and Bill Eisele for their time on the

Board.

Vice Chairman Stulac thanked both Kathryn Clark-Ross and Bill Eisele for their time on the Board.

Trustee Siegman thanked both Kathryn Clark-Ross and Bill Eisele for their time on the Board.

Public comment: none

6. Discussion only regarding the South Sunridge Dog Park.

General Manager Chris Johnson stated the Board requested to have further discussions on this item.

The Board discussed this item at length.

Public comment: Resident Pat Rowbottom stated she owns a house in South Sunridge and has for 15 years. She has two dogs and walks the neighbor all the time, the park has been wonderful, and it is enjoyed so much. She has met a lot of good friends. Pat stated that she is retired after working for civil engineers, planners, surveyors, environmental consultants in California but before you peg her as some City girl she spent 45 years of her life in Bishop. To talk about the dog park, to be objective she likes to put pros and cons. She will make one comment about dog diseases, they all spend a lot of money on vaccines for their dogs for Bordetella and dog flu that is because of contamination from other dogs. They keep their dogs somewhat away from other dogs most of the time, they can get sick by communicating with the other dogs, so it isn't a matter of feces so much. Just to clarify one point about disease. Pat made a poster with pros and cons, she sees one pro and that is so big dogs can get in there and run around without a leash, cons are dog flu, Bordetella, no leashes, barking, devaluation of adjacent property, no supervision, abandoned dogs, dog injury, first aid, nuisance, and no parking. There is a bad issue with drainage over there, we should put a cornhole area in there.

Resident Lynn Dement stated she lives on Mica Drive one thing she wanted to say it is a misinform that we are calling this a dog park, dog parks are not usually placed in the center of a residential park in the middle of a neighborhood, they are usually away from homes, so they don't create issues. We now have well this would make the sixth dog park just because we have grant money does that mean it has to be used for a dog park, if so let's not use it. A lot of the board has been saying there are a lot of people who want a dog park well where are these people that want a dog park. They put it out on next-door she only saw cons on next door no pro comments. She is not against dog parks most people are not but there is a time and a place for them, and it is not in the middle of a public park, it is only about forty feet away. It is a waste of money when it has already been proved that it doesn't work in that area, she does not think Douglas County will be too happy it is a waste. Lynn asked who is going to take care of issues with disease, who is going to close the park. You said your mission statement is to create pleasure for people, are you sure you are. She thinks it is an excellent idea to get a petition and she thinks it was handled poorly from the get-go. It is a big deal and it is poorly handled, it should have been brought to people's attention another way. She is asking the board table this until something is put out to the whole community pro or con.

Resident Linnea Alvord lives on Vista Ridge and she is here as a neutral but generally speaking advocating for it because it is a community aspect. Being here for two years now there is very little

community building for the younger population and dog parks is one place that young people can come to congregate, we don't come to senior lunches we are still working but we do get out and exercise, we are physically active people, and they enjoy connecting through our social activities such as dog walking. Linnea stated that her dogs don't need to be in a park, they don't need to be confined they are larger dogs they don't need to be freely, that is a perception of maybe non dog owners as well as small dog owners that big dogs need to run around like crazy people. That is not the case, there is no scientific proof from the dog community having been a dog trainer, there is no scientific proof that small dogs need to be separated from large dogs. It is the anthropomorphism of the human believing that their dog is like a child, and I can't have my tiny child be next to these larger bullies, enough said. Linnea stated that she could go either way with this proposal, but she urges you to consider the composition of the dog park because going from grass to DG, DG this is not the most amenable surface for community building. It's dusty, that is why people don't go to Fuji and why this park is used predominately by locals that is close to home by the way. Many go to Stephanie, we need grass. Carson is going to convert Fuji to grass. So, she urges you to consider those things.

Collette Bureau stated that she is a realtor with ReMax Realty Affiliates she just wanted to touch on the thought that it will devalue property. When people look to purchase they are not just purchasing a house, they are purchasing a live style and parks are a big part of why homes are attractive to them. Dog parks are an aspect that people consider, dog parks come up all the time they like having dog parks within walking distance of their homes as opposed of having to drive to a dog park. They have not found any verifiable facts that a house is less valuable because it is near a dog park. In fact she just sold a home in Carson right across the street from Sonoma park, a dog park and that was one of the selling points. She just wanted to dispel the thought that homes are less valuable because of dog parks. She lives in Carson and sells here, she has utilized dog parks herself as a real estate agent in this area for 33 years. Dog parks have never been considered a negative selling feature to selling a home. She is not minimizing your issues on this dog park but thought she would come here and speak to it and help explain that.

Resident Nikki Schmidt stated that she is one of the four residences that backs up to the dog park. She has a concern one being parking in the area, that is a little cul-de-sac right there she would hate people to park in front of houses. Maintenance and being backed up to that area they will hear dogs bark all the time, which would be a con for her. Her dogs would be stirred up all the time because it is such a close proximity. Talking about selling and having it so close might her is a concern. A pro people do now take their dog off leash now, that is a pro. If there is any other spot to look at please consider it, she would appreciate it because it will be in her backyard. The DG is a concern, and it would be dusty. Dog parks are great, she wouldn't utilize it. Hopefully you will find a different area not so close, maybe by the basketball court and walking area.

Resident April Stevens stated that she lives on Parkview she doesn't own dogs, so she is actually neutral on this. Her concern is, did we do any studies on drainage of the area. She hears we are going to put DG and people are concerned she would think lawn would be better so it will absorb more water and urine. Her concern is urine not sinking in DG and grass how would you water it. How will it drain, we are always being told don't put anything in the storm drains because it is bad. Urine and poop are part of that and bad. We should move the fence, it is not far enough from the houses, the rules is there going to be an age limit, are you going to allow 3,4 and 5 year olds in there

with a bunch of dogs running around that would be a problem. Maybe an 8 year old goes in there and can't control his dog because of the other dogs. We need to look at the rules.

Resident Ronny Lynch stated that he lives at 987 Blueridge, he has been a resident for 25 years and a resident of Douglas County for 56 years. When he first came to Douglas County his brother brought him here to fly an airplane. He was brought up in New Orleans when he was 8 years old. His mom and dad took him to see a movie called National Velvet. It had the most beautiful dog in it, called Lassie. He thought one of these days he is going to get a collie dog. He and his wife have a dog ginger he walks her every day unless snow is too deep or rain and wind is awful. It takes him 34 minutes to walk .60 miles. The doggers that use the park all seem to enjoy the park, he loves telling everyone at Sunridge we have a dog park. One little dog that he gets a kick out of gets there early in the morning and throws a frisbee. The frisbee goes behind him and he gets it. Ronny stated that he feels the board is giving us something that we really don't need. One thing you can give us is when it snows, we can't get to the park, it is ridiculous we can't clear the snow. Clear at each end it is not done he would like to do it, but something happened to him he likes to help people, but he can't clear that snow because someone along the way is going to complain about it. If he could do it, he would but he will get sued.

Recess 7:28PM

Reconvene 7:36PM

Resident Brian Patrick stated he lives on Somerset. Brian stated that he has been off the board for four years, he served for thirteen years. One point in time in the distant past we got a call from the County they said we have \$5,000 if you want to build a dog park, we built a dog park. Part of the problem with Sunridge park was the water expense, how many gallons of water it used and what are we going to do. They, the board brainstormed a little bit, he wanted bocce ball John wanted shuffleboard, corn hole came up, it is a great idea. Nothing ever came of it because it was too expensive to do. He was off board and at some point in time the County called us and said we have \$50,000 for you if you want to build a dog park, we didn't ask for it. I may be wrong but no one called the county and asked for it. No one ever called the County and asked if we could do something different with the money. Fast forward he was not on the board when this came about, here we are now it is a grand sum of money however the question comes to mind could we have called the County and asked if we can do something different with the money and can we still call the County and ask if we can do something different. When someone comes to you with a bucket of money it is usually a leprechaun.

Resident Jim Rowbottom stated there are three main walkways into our park and they all meet at the green bench, they sit and chat and the dogs play with each other. The one thing, besides all these reasons, it comes down to they do not want the park. But at the same time, he used to be a professional union negotiator sometimes you run into a stall mate. You have to have someone come up with an alternative solution that everyone can live with. Why don't you put the park on the west side of North Sunridge park. There is a lot of grass it can be away from the playground, parking you can all along North Sunridge there are no driveways so you could park adjacent to the park they would not block anyone's driveway. You will have the park, the engineer is not getting screwed, the realtor can still use the park as a selling point. That is his suggestion for an alternate location.

Resident Sharon Silvas stated that she lives at 998 Sunview drive right behind the area you are

talking about. Sharon stated when John was in charge, she was walking her dogs and John was out there measuring an area she asked him what he was doing, John said we are thinking about putting in a dog park here. Ok she didn't think much of it, it wasn't a big area, it wasn't that big of a deal it was small and away from her home. Now she sees this, and she just about flipped she couldn't believe it, the size of it, you talk about water, just quit watering so much, reprogram the sprinklers. It is way too close to their homes, no one wants their dogs in dirt that is why they take them to the dog parks with grass. It is ugly, it will create an eyesore in this area, it is not necessary. Everybody loves the park the way it is.

Resident Sharon Buckley stated that everyone has made so many comments that she was going to make, she lives at 1039 Mica drive, right above the proposed park. All the negatives and cons that have been presented she is not going to reiterate that she thinks made it very clear in the letter she sent to the board, and she appreciates them taking time to read it. She will reiterate Sharon's comments about the watering she has lived there almost 18 years and overlooks that park, the majority of the nights she is up looking out the door and sees the park watering four times a night, four times. Three quarters of the water is soaking the street, it is an ongoing challenge there. When you do go walk your dog early in the morning, it is a fog out there the majority of the park is so wet. It is a problem, she brought it up to the previous General Manager and she won't repeat the comments she got from him. We are going to have a ton of mosquitos this year. If the big thing is about saving money on water, there stop watering so much. There was a gazebo, park benches, trees out there and no one used it and then it was all gone, tore up and was such a waste of money. Her proposal here is this park and approval and agreement needs to be an entire district decision, you have 2,100 homes here that need to be polled at to whether or not this is something this neighborhood wants and if they would they actually use it. Sharon stated that she just had a \$750 vet bill from someone's dog attacking her dog last time she went to a dog park. So, she will not be using it. There is no reason you can't send out a questionnaire about the dog park could be sent, you send out 2,100 bills each month get the public opinion before you do it, and they can send it back. There is a wide-open space between L'adagio and the golf course that is a great area, all you need to do is remove some sage brush, it is wide open and a huge open space. That would be a great area instead of ruining the park.

Resident Lynn Demet stated this is going to come across sort of bad, but she doesn't mean it to be that way. She just wanted the board, especially the new members you came in with already approved. She is just wondering, can you really look at yourselves and say I really researched this, and am I qualified to vote on this because you looked at everything, is this is a good idea. She did not mean that in a nasty way.

Resident Gene Robinson stated that he had a prepared statement. He does want to say one thing about grants, it is a very seductive word, the word grant. There is no free money, there is no free lunch. The money from grants is derived from deficit spending, whether it be at the local, state or federal level. There is no free money. He would be more impressed to redirect the money back to Douglas County for an understaffed Sherriff's department than build a dog park. Here we are putting the cart before the horse again. The core mission of the GID is streets, water and sewer we are failing to maintain our streets. Many are negligent and in disrepair. South Sunridge park is now a finished project as far as they are concerned, they don't want pathways or bikeways obstructed with an untenable dog park. The residents do not wish to fund an ever-expanding jobs program for Lumos and Associates or GID staff while streets are still falling apart within the GID. The current

arrangement of people walking and comingling with their dogs is not broken, it has been working for years and does not need to be fixed with a dog park. It is too close to adjacent homes, it is not even the distance of a residential lot that is 80 feet this is about 40 feet, a dog park should not be placed even if there is just one house. It obliterates the green belt that is already there, and the pathway that is not compatible with the basketball court which is in need of repaving, and they do not want it altered in any way. He is totally opposed to any more amenities at the parks until the core mission of the GID is done. Here nor are those of us being gentrified by runaway inflation wishing any additional cost, fees and need for new taxes to be placed upon us at this time. While we have a one-off grant to construct this thing we do not have a conveyor belt grant to maintain it so at some point down the line there will be a cost to maintain it, it wont be a free lunch.

Resident Ed Terlau stated he was here when the first dog park was built after it was built, they didn't know about this. As soon as it was put in there was no questions about it, the first thing the dogs did was go straight to the fence. Dog parks are very precisely put most of the time, South Sunridge does not have a spot for it. Maybe on that northwest corner at North park would work but wherever you put it there will be issues no matter what. Just because you got the money doesn't mean you have to spend it. There are a lot of people who won't use it and he probably won't use it. He strongly recommends that we reconsider, he does not think it is a good match for that small real estate there.

Resident Lynn Demet there was a suggestion by Bob to look at separating it for a small dog and a large dog area. There is no room for that, national recommendation is .50 acres for a dog park and you divide that little bit of land in half, it is not feasible, there is no room for a dog to run.

Resident Pat Rowbottom stated, you need to do something with that corner. It needs no more water; it needs a retaining wall and a slope for drainage. It needs an apron so it drains on to the street on Sunridge you have a problem to solve you can't leave dirt at grade it is now. If you do anything please build up the grade and put in a retaining wall, they pump from under their house all the time. It is all a park liability she doesn't know why they have been so polite and kind so far.

Resident Denise Pierini stated that she had no idea she was going to be speaking tonight. Denise stated that she has lived in this neighborhood for over 40 years. She has about twelve neighbors that back to her, she has one of the largest parcels in this district. She loves dogs, cats, birds she loves them all one thing she can't stand is when dogs bark because she is in her yard, and she has an acre. Common sense tells her that if something I am doing with property is going to affect homeowners, there opinion should have the heaviest weight. She is grateful that she had the privilege to do this job and served with all of them. We need if you don't hear anything else here, she used to take her dog to the river. She doesn't know if you are thinking about a dog park because you are thinking about the dogs or is this a social experience. The major thing she considered with this dog park was how the neighbors felt, you live by an alley, dogs yap when people or other dogs walk.

Board of Trustees further discussion/comment: The Board had further discussion on this item.

7. Discussion and possible action to approve a proposal from Lumos & Associates in the amount of \$9,800.00 for Civil Engineering Services for the South Sunridge Park Dog Park.

General Manager Chris Johnson stated you have the proposal in front of you, this is for the design for the dog park which has been discussed tonight.

The Board discussed this item.

Public comment: Resident Lynn Demet stated that she likes things in black and white and there is a lot of maybe's the one thing written in stone is money. You need to make darn sure you know what is going to happen to that. She agrees that this item should be tabled until you find out what exactly it is going to cost without any money coming out of the GID.

Resident Duane Schmidt stated out of the three years you didn't get any kind of budget. You have no quotes from contractors. So, in three years there is not even a design.

Board of Trustees further discussion/comment: The Board agreed to table this item pending answers to a few questions that Chris will find out from Douglas County.

8. Discussion only regarding the District's Snow Removal Policy 2010P-01

The Board discussed this item at length.

Public comment: Resident Ronny Lynch asked to speak first, he has an issue and can't leave his wife for long periods of time. Ronny stated that he knows Chris anything he says, it does not mean Chris isn't doing his job, he knows how hard snow removal is. He fell in his driveway, he slipped on his back from the ice. Ronny stated that he is 86 years old and keeps himself in good shape riding his bike and walking. He had this terrible fall, which scared the heck out of him, and it has taken him 2 months to recover. There are a lot of people his age, there are two dangerous areas east Mica at the intersection of north and south Sunridge coming together, the other is on east Mica at Smoketree, both have cross walks. The one at east Mica and Smoketree does have a blinking light to help cross, as snow comes down it packs the snow as cars go over it. It is hard to walk on the ice across the cross walks his concern is someone slipping on the ice and hurting themselves and possibly being hit by a car. The other day he was in the middle of the cross walk snow piles up at the entrances making it difficult to cross sometimes they can't even get to the button to activate the crosswalk. He wishes there were some way to solve that problem someone will really get hurt here. Ronny stated he knows how hard it is to remove the snow, Chris is putting in a new light at north and south Sunridge but that will not take care of the ice that packs down. Ronny stated that he is afraid someone is really going to get hurt. Thank you to the gentleman that clears the path to the south Sunridge dog park, you can't get to it because the snow is piled up, it needs to be cleared. His other concern is the post office, the areas where the mailboxes are also packed down and is ice. When they plow the snow is stacked up against the curb and you can't get to the mailboxes, he is really concerned that someone is going to get hurt some day.

Resident Brian Patrick stated the only question he has, last week he had an ambulance come to his neighbor's house and the fireman had to shovel snow to get to the house from the street. The questions is hydrants, you have someone's house burning down and the fireman don't know where they hydrant is, what are we doing don't. He does not know how you want to call this. The other

thing is there is money budgeted for snow removal. If that is not the case could it be budgeted. Whatever that figure is if you do, is it a possibility to carry it over if you don't spend it each year. It is something to think about. The other idea are there other snow removal policies within the County that you could look at and find things that might be important.

Resident Ed Terlau stated that he has been running around and plowing this season. This season hasn't been coldest or the snowiest what it has been is storm after storm, it is an unusual year. The mailboxes at south Sunridge he hits that as soon as he can, he shovels out and pushes as much away as he can. The one on Mica you are not going to get it going the right way because you have a driveway exc. Ed stated that he wishes there were more volunteers, there used to be three other guys who did this. There is nobody in the south, he was under the understanding that it is 48 hours to clear sidewalks. He does a bunch of sidewalks.

Resident Denise Pierini stated the only reason she is addressing this is because you all know that she is from the lake, she gets a lot of snow up there. She drove that road for years; she knows about extreme weather. This has been a horrific winter, the fire hydrants are extremely important. The problem she has was you have to get the sidewalks clean. The rain gutters, the water does not go down to the drain it freezes in the gutter then you have a hard time getting out of your driveway. She did come and talk to someone here when the plow came down her street, but the staff needs a little better training with the plows. They took out the sidewalk everything was piled right in front of the fire hydrant and in front of the mailboxes, there are folks in the neighborhood to have mail delivered in front of their house. The gutters need to be cleared for drainage.

Resident Tammy James stated that she lives on Placer Court, she is a 32-year resident. Tammy stated that she likes Trustee Siegman's comment, she concurs with you 100%. No more phases the problem is they are going to get an expectation of what you are going to do. We are doing it as a courtesy. We do have to clear our own sidewalks and fire hydrants in front of your house because that is what residents do. Don't get caught up in phase one, two, three, remember you are doing it as a courtesy. Otherwise it is going to become part of the budget, if that is what you want to do then that is what you will do but as of right now it is good. You clear the roads, everyone takes care of their own sidewalks, we have volunteers to help clear the mailboxes that is what residents/communities do. That is what we are supposed to do. If you change it, you will have to find money in the budget.

Dallas Debatine stated he is a resident for two years. You guys did a wonderful job, it is unbelievable what you guys have done this year.

Board of Trustees further discussion/comment:

9. Reports to the Board:

a. General Manager Report

General Manager Chris Johnson reviewed his report with the Board.

1. Administrative

General Manager Chris Johnson reviewed the administrative report with the Board.

2. Water

General Manager Chris Johnson reviewed the water report with the

Minutes of the March 15, 2023, Regular Board of Trustees Meeting

Board.

3. Wastewater

General Manager Chris Johnson reviewed the wastewater report with the Board.

b. District Accountant Report

General Manager Chris Johnson reviewed the accountant report with the Board.

c. Engineer Report

District Engineer Tim Russell stated building on what Chris said, we had one bid on the Indian project and it came in well over the estimate. They will come back next month with a game plan on how we want to proceed with potential rehabilitation on the sewer line.

d. Attorney Report

District Counsel Chuck Zumpft stated that he has been doing some research for another client related to fire hydrant snow removal the partially applicable statute states it is the responsibility of the private water company's responsibility to remove snow, that is from public utility commission regulations, but PUC regulations do not apply to government entities like this one. Although he found an exception to that too although not related to hydrants. The point is as he sits here right now, he is in the process of determining who is responsible for the removal of snow around hydrants and when he gets that answer as it relates to general improvement districts, he will share it with you. Number two he does not know the legal justification for it but one of his clients Kingsbury General Improvement District has two enterprise funds, one enterprise fund for water and one for sewer, the also set rates and collect fees for snow removal. He does not know the statutory authority for that but it is a service and services cost money. Their snow removal budget is in the hundreds of thousands of dollars, but it is paid through fees charged to residents. He does not know if that applies to this GID, if this is a once in a 100 year storm system then we don't have to worry about it. It might be a worthwhile topic for the district to look into if the district can charge a fee for snow removal. He will try to fill that in for you in the future.

Public comment: Resident Brian Patrick stated he has been worrying about the project on Indian. There are five or six streets we cannot wait five years to fix a street. Every time you turn around to get another street repaired you need to figure out some way to finance getting these streets, they need to be repaired. We have a big problem with our streets, we can't sit there and say we are going to pay cash. If you thought about that when you bought your house, you would never buy a house or a car. You have to get a loan, it is Chris job, it is Tim's job to go find what is out there. You have to fix this stuff fixed.

Resident Tammy James stated that she lives on Placer court, she is hoping she is one of those streets it is alligatored and cracked, it is bad. Tammy stated before she would spend money on a snow removal fee, she would donate money or pay more or a fee for roads. She doesn't know who would pay for snow removal for a winter like this that doesn't happen very often. They have been waiting

15 plus years she thinks money spent better for repairing roads than snow removal three or four months out of the year.

10. Discussion and possible action to approve Draft Minutes from the February 15, 2023, Board Meeting.

Public comment: None.

Trustee Siegman motioned to approve Draft Minutes from the February 15, 2023, Board Meeting. Vice Chairman Stulac seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

11. Chairman and Trustees Reports, Correspondence

Under this item the Board Members will briefly identify relevant communications received by them before the meeting, or meetings attended, or potential business of the district. No action will be taken on any of these items, but a member may request such item or topic be placed on a future agenda.

Trustee Garcia requested to have an item on the April meeting agenda to discuss the district's investments and what options are available to us.

12. Adjournment

Vice Chairman Stulac motioned to adjourn the meeting. Trustee Garcia seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Meeting adjourned at 10:10P.M.

FINAL APPROVED MINUTES AS PRESENTED

**Secretary/Treasurer
Vicky Lufrano**