

Minutes
Indian Hills General Improvement District
Board of Trustees Meeting
District Office
3394 James Lee Park Rd. A
Carson City, NV 89705
June 17, 2026
Regular Board Meeting
6:00 P.M.

Trustees Present: Chairman Clark-Ross, Secretary/Treasurer Siegman, Trustee Garcia, and Trustee Stulac.

Trustees Absent: Vice Chairman Jones

Staff Present: General Manager Chris Johnson and District Accountant Stacie Cobb.

Others Present: District Engineer Collin Surge, District Counsel Chuck Zumpft, Residents Lynn Dement and Dallas Debatine.

6:00P.M. - Regular Meeting

1. **Call to Order**
Request that Cell Phones and Pagers be turned off for recording purposes.
Chairman Clark-Ross called the meeting to order at 6:00PM.
2. **Pledge of Allegiance:** Led by Trustee Garcia.
3. **Public Interest Comment**
4. **Approval of Agenda**

Trustee Stulac motioned to approve the agenda. Chairman Clark-Ross seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

5. **Discussion and possible action to approve a renewal proposal from Nevada Public Agency Insurance Pool (POOL) and approval for payment from fiscal year 2026-2027 funds.**

Jim Norton of Warren Reed Insurance and Wayne Carlson, CEO of Pool PACT, presented the annual insurance renewal and highlighted the continued value of the district's participation in the insurance pool.

Insurance Renewal

- The annual renegotiation of the Pool PACT master insurance policy for all 144 member agencies was successful.

Minutes of the June 17, 2026, Regular Board of Trustees Meeting

- There was no general rate increase, with premium increases only related to increased property values or higher-risk exposures.
- The district's annual premium increased to \$82,932.86, primarily due to a nearly 24% increase in insured property values, while overall program costs increased by less than 9% because of favorable market negotiations.

Coverage Enhancements

- Property catastrophe coverage increased from \$330 million to \$500 million.
- Earthquake and flood coverage remained at \$150 million.
- General liability, automobile liability, and wrongful acts coverage remained at \$10 million.
- Equipment breakdown coverage increased from \$100 million to \$150 million at no additional cost.
- Cyber insurance was restructured after the previous carrier exited the market. Coverage limits remain at \$1 million per occurrence and \$15 million aggregate, with revised risk-sharing between the Pool, its captive insurer, and the excess carrier.
- A PFAS (per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances) exclusion was added to align with environmental insurance carriers, meaning PFAS-related claims are not covered.

District Performance

- The district celebrated its 25th anniversary as a Pool PACT member.
- Over 25 years:
 - Approximately \$250,000 has been paid in premiums.
 - Approximately 30 claims totaling about \$250,000 have been submitted.
 - The district has maintained an excellent loss history with a loss ratio of less than 20%, making it one of the pool's best-performing members.
- Notable past claims included:
 - A 2008 pump station malfunction resulted in approximately \$90,000 in damage.
 - Several vandalism claims at parks.
 - A light pole claim that was later determined to involve an NV Energy-owned pole, requiring reimbursement of the initial payment.

Member Services

Pool PACT continues to provide numerous services beyond insurance coverage, including:

- Human Resources support.
- Safety training and grants.
- Online learning programs.
- Board member education.
- Environmental liability coverage.
- Employment practices liability.
- Cybersecurity services.

The district recently received a \$18,000 passive network assessment at no cost, during which cybersecurity experts evaluated the district's network for vulnerabilities and provided recommendations to improve protection against cyber-attacks. Staff also have access to KnowBe4 phishing awareness training, which helps educate employees and board members on recognizing phishing attempts.

Minutes of the June 17, 2026, Regular Board of Trustees Meeting

Board Discussion

- Trustee Garcia asked about the passive network assessment and confirmed it was a comprehensive security evaluation rather than software or hardware installation.
- Trustee Stulac inquired about reimbursement for recent vandalism incidents. Staff explained that some incidents fell below the district's \$1,000 deductible, while larger claims were reimbursed.
- General Manager Chris Johnson reported that vandalism has significantly declined since security cameras were installed at the parks.
- District Counsel Chuck Zumpft asked about the new PFAS exclusion, and Mr. Carlson confirmed there is no insurance coverage for PFAS-related claims due to industry-wide exclusions.

Conclusion

The Board thanked the presenters for the renewal update, recognized the value of the district's long-term partnership with Pool PACT, and proceeded toward accepting the annual insurance renewal proposal.

Public comment: none

Trustee Garcia motioned to approve a renewal proposal from Nevada Public Agency Insurance Pool (POOL) and approval for payment from fiscal year 2026-2027 funds. Secretary/Treasurer Siegman seconded. Motion carried 4-0. Vice Chairman Jones was absent from the meeting.

6. Discussion and possible action to accept a proposal from Lumos & Associates, Inc., to provide technical assistance for the EPA Lead and Copper rule in the amount of \$30,000.

General Manager Chris Johnson and District Engineer Collin Sturge presented a proposed budget item to address the EPA's Lead and Copper Rule requirements, which require water systems to identify the material of customer service lines installed before 1989.

Overview

- The EPA now requires water districts to inventory all service lines that could contain lead or certain copper materials with lead components.
- Approximately **500 homes** in the district, generally those built before 1989, are considered "unknown" and require verification.
- Homes built after 1989 are assumed to have plastic service lines and are exempt.
- The district must submit its inventory to the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP) by November 1, 2027.

Challenges

- The EPA and NDEP have provided very little guidance on acceptable methods for identifying pipe materials.
- The only method clearly accepted by regulators is physically exposing ("potholing") the service line, which would require digging two holes in each yard.
- Staff described this requirement as extremely costly, labor intensive, and disruptive to residents.

Proposed Approach

Rather than immediately excavating hundreds of properties, staff proposed a phased approach:

- Review historical construction drawings, permits, inspection records, and subdivision plans.
- Make reasonable engineering assumptions when entire developments were constructed at the same time with the same materials.
- Inspect visible pipe material at water meter boxes where possible.
- Evaluate the use of Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) to distinguish plastic from metallic pipes, potentially eliminating most homes from excavation.
- Resort to potholing only where necessary.

Loomis & Associates would assist with research and documentation under a time-and-materials budget not to exceed \$30,000.

Ground Penetrating Radar Discussion

Chris Johnson explained that GPR may be capable of distinguishing plastic pipes from metal pipes based on their radar signatures.

Potential benefits include:

- Eliminating the need to excavate hundreds of properties.
- Reducing contractor costs that could otherwise exceed \$100,000 to \$200,000.
- Providing additional utility locating benefits for future capital projects.

However, staff emphasized that:

- GPR would only be used if the EPA accepts it as an approved identification method.
- A vendor demonstration would be completed before any purchase.
- The equipment would have other long-term uses for locating underground utilities.

Water Quality

Staff reassured the Board that:

- The district routinely tests drinking water throughout the system.
- No detectable lead has ever been found in District water samples.
- The EPA requirement concerns identifying pipe materials, not current lead levels in drinking water.

Board Discussion

Trustee Stulac

- Questioned approving the full \$30,000 before receiving written guidance from NDEP.
- Recommended sending a formal letter outlining the district's proposed methodology (record review, GPR, and limited excavation) and requesting agency concurrence.
- Believed this could save significant money if accepted.
- Expressed concern over another unfunded federal mandate affecting small water districts.

Secretary/Treasurer Siegman

- Supported beginning document research immediately.
- Noted that GPR may help narrow the number of properties requiring excavation but likely could not definitively identify lead versus other metal pipes.

Minutes of the June 17, 2026, Regular Board of Trustees Meeting

- Pointed out that organizing historical records would provide lasting value to the district.

Trustee Garcia

- Emphasized that the \$30,000 represented a contingency, not a guaranteed expenditure.
- Supported allowing engineering staff flexibility to pursue the most cost-effective solution.
- Suggested sending the proposed methodology to NDEP while allowing research to begin.

Chairman Clark-Ross

- Expressed concern over the practicality of the federal mandate.
- Asked questions about older neighborhoods and the likelihood of lead service lines.

Staff Clarifications

Chris Johnson emphasized:

- The budget authority does **not** mean the district intends to spend the entire \$30,000.
- Staff will pursue the least expensive acceptable method.
- Much of the work involves researching historical records regardless of which identification method is ultimately approved.
- The budget was already included in the approved Water Enterprise Fund budget and cannot be used for roads or other General Fund purposes.

Public comment: Resident Lynn Dement: I have a question. I tried to research my own home back when we first got the notification out of the office here that we were supposed to check on things, and my home was built in 1989 and I went, yeah, we were that old. And I went to the assessor's office to see. I went through every agency in Douglas County to try to determine what month. In 1989, my house was built and I was unable to determine that. So, and of course, you're a better researcher than I am, but is that my home going to be on the list of possibilities that you would not be testing?

Chairman Clark-Ross: No. You guys are newbies out there. 89.

Resident Lynn Dement: Yeah, but that was the cutoff for using lead.

Chairman Clark-Ross: Oh

Trustee Stulac: Yeah, my house is 93.

Chairman Clark-Ross: Yeah, mine's 70 something. In fact, I knew James Lee. So, do you mean he left me an email to get Ahold of him? Maybe we will start calling, email him, ask him. But yeah, that's how old we are out there. So, we were way before that, you know, the original James Lee Homes. Anyway, do you have anything else? Go ahead.

Resident Dallas Debatine: Amador Circle and sure appreciates the work going on the street. And it's just amazing. The change is going to be fantastic. Has the EPA set a standard on lead and, and copper lead in, in the water? And, and you said that, that some of the houses that the water that you've tested has no lead in it. Yeah.

Minutes of the June 17, 2026, Regular Board of Trustees Meeting

Trustee Garcia: Correct. That could also be one of them.

Resident Dallas Debatine: So, if the water that you've tested has no lead in it. Is there any reason we need to go further?

District Counsel Chuck Zumpft: They are not testing water in all 500 homes?

District Engineer Collin Sturge: Correct.

Resident Dallas Debatine: I'm sorry.

District Counsel Chuck Zumpft: They're not testing the water in all 500 homes,

Trustee Stulac: Correct?

General Manager Chris Johnson: Correction in 2500 homes in the district.

Trustee Stulac: That line the house?

Resident Dallas Debatine: Question can you. I don't I don't know, it just it seems if the if the EPA has not established a level of lead in the water yet, then how can they require that we test them at a zero level? I don't know. I don't understand that. So, I'm hesitant on.

Trustee Stulac: Well, there is a there is an EPA drinking water level MCL already established for lead. But as Chris said, it's been non-detect. I think it's 10 off the top. I'm not sure what it is. There is a safe level.

Secretary/Treasurer Siegman: But they're not asking for levels of lead in the water. They're asking for material.

Trustee Stulac: Correct.

Secretary/Treasurer Siegman: What kind of surface material is used?

Trustee Stulac: That's right.

Secretary/Treasurer Siegman: So, it doesn't matter whether you have lead in your water or not. According to the EPA.

Resident Dallas Debatine: But you could have.

Secretary/Treasurer Siegman: Absolutely.

Resident Dallas Debatine: It's all their concern. Okay.

Outcome

The Board generally agreed that staff should move forward with researching records and pursuing the most cost-effective compliance strategy while seeking additional guidance from NDEP. The proposed engineering budget was described as a contingency to provide flexibility as regulatory requirements become clearer.

Trustee Garcia motioned to accept a proposal from Lumos & Associates, Inc., to provide technical assistance for the EPA Lead and Copper rule in the amount of \$30,000.

Secretary/Treasurer Siegman seconded. Motion carried 3-1. Ayes by Chairman Clark-Ross, Secretary/Treasurer Siegman, and Trustee Garcia. Nay by Trustee Stulac. Vice Chairman Jones was absent from the meeting.

7. Discussion and possible action to accept addendum No. 2 to the consultant agreement with Lumos & Associates, Inc. for the IHGID FY 25/26 Street rehabilitation project.

General Manager Chris Johnson and District Engineer Collin Sturge presented an addendum requesting up to \$83,000 in additional engineering and construction management services for the Amador Street Rehabilitation Project. Staff emphasized that the request represents a **worst-case contingency** and is intended to avoid returning to the Board for additional approvals if unexpected issues continue through project completion.

Reason for the Additional Request

As construction progressed, several unforeseen field conditions required redesign, additional inspection, and construction management services, including:

- Relocating water infrastructure that differed from existing plans.
- Replacing damaged meter pits located in driveways with traffic-rated meter boxes to prevent future damage from vehicles.
- Addressing undocumented underground utilities, including an electrical line encased in slurry.
- Modifying the design to avoid placing a new fire hydrant in the middle of a resident's landscaped yard by relocating and redesigning that portion of the project.
- Abandoning an aging water line connected from Princeton through Amador after replacing a failed isolation valve.

Johnson stressed that these changes reflect the district's commitment to doing the project correctly the first time, rather than repeating mistakes made during previous construction.

Project Status

- Construction remained on schedule for completion near the end of July, although the addendum assumes work could extend into mid-August if additional unforeseen conditions arise.
- Even with the requested amendment, the overall project is expected to remain approximately \$500,000 under the original project budget.

Engineering Contract Amendment

Collin Sturge explained that:

- The amendment reallocates \$12,000 in unused contingency funds from a completed design task to construction management.

Minutes of the June 17, 2026, Regular Board of Trustees Meeting

- An additional \$83,000 is requested for expanded construction management and inspection services.
- Combined, Tasks 14 and 15 would have a not-to-exceed amount of \$95,000, although only \$83,000 represents new funding.

Force Account Status

Staff also reported that:

- The contractor's **\$175,000 force account** still has approximately \$60,000 remaining.
- Careful negotiation of change orders has helped control costs.
- If the project finishes as expected in late July, staff believes the remaining force account may be sufficient, and some or all of the additional engineering contingency may not be needed.
-

Board Discussion

Trustee Garcia confirmed that:

- The request represents a worst-case scenario.
- The project remains substantially under budget.
- The amendment first utilizes unused contingency funds before requesting additional compensation.

Secretary/Treasurer Siegman questioned the contract language, noting that while the addendum requests \$83,000 in new funding, the total value of the construction management tasks becomes \$95,000 after adding the transferred \$12,000. Staff agreed that this should be clarified in the motion or amendment.

Chairman Clark-Ross asked whether the wording should be corrected before approval. Staff advised that the Board could approve the amendment with the corrected amount reflected in the motion.

Overall

The discussion emphasized that the requested amendment is a contingency to address unforeseen construction conditions while maintaining project quality. Staff expressed confidence that the project remains on budget, with the additional authorization providing flexibility if construction extends beyond the anticipated completion date.

Public comment: Resident Dallas Debatine: Comment. I'll have a comment on that. Doing things right. As Chris said, it's we had, we had a lot of meters in our neighborhood that are too high. We have meters that are too low and which makes it difficult to do yard work around them. And they're putting the meters all back to dirt level. That's a, that's, that's an amazing difference that somebody paid attention to. So, thanks.

Additional Discussion – Amador Project Construction Addendum

General Manager Chris Johnson provided additional examples of the unforeseen conditions encountered during construction that contributed to the request for additional engineering and construction management services.

Unforeseen Field Conditions

Minutes of the June 17, 2026, Regular Board of Trustees Meeting

Johnson explained that numerous unexpected issues have required redesign, coordination, and additional oversight, including:

- Removal of approximately a dozen mature trees that had grown around water meter pits and sidewalks.
- In one example, several large trees completely surrounded a water meter pit and had lifted adjacent sidewalk panels.
- Rather than replacing damaged sidewalks while leaving the trees in place to cause future damage, staff worked directly with the homeowner to obtain permission to remove the trees at no cost to the residents.
- Tree removal required hiring a contractor and additional engineering review to evaluate impacts on sidewalks and other infrastructure.

Johnson noted that these types of situations were impossible to anticipate during design and have contributed to the need for additional construction management time.

Lead Service Line Observation

Trustee Stulac asked whether the Amador project had revealed any lead or metallic service lines that could assist with the District's Lead and Copper Rule inventory.

Johnson responded that:

- The service lines uncovered on Amador and Placer have all been plastic.
- This information further supports the district's belief that lead service lines are unlikely to exist in the system and provides additional documentation for future regulatory compliance efforts.

Motion Preparation

Before taking action, the Board discussed correcting the wording of the motion to accurately reflect the revised contract amounts.

- **Secretary/Treasurer Siegman** reminded the Board that the motion should reflect the corrected total amount after accounting for the transferred contingency funds.
- **Chairman Clark-Ross** directed Secretary/Treasurer Siegman to make the motion using the corrected figures so the amendment would accurately reflect the Board's approval.

Secretary/Treasurer Siegman motioned to accept addendum No. 2 to the consultant agreement with Lumos & Associates, Inc. for the IHGID FY 25/26 Street rehabilitation project with the caveat that the total of \$83,000 be changed to \$95,000 to reflect the \$12,000 from Task 7 being moved to this addendum. Chairman Clark-Ross seconded. Motion carried 4-0. Vice Chairman Jones was absent from the meeting.

8. Reports to the Board:

a. General Manager Report

General Manager Chris Johnson provided an overview of the district's financial status, capital projects, grant activity, and operational priorities.

Budget Status

- As the fiscal year concluded, departmental budgets were generally on track and nearly fully utilized.

Minutes of the June 17, 2026, Regular Board of Trustees Meeting

- The Streets Department was still purchasing supplies with remaining budget funds.
- Parks expenditure appeared higher than normal due to the James Lee Park playground replacement project.
- The budget graphs showed departments spending below the fiscal year benchmark, with the exception of Parks because of the playground investment.
- The next monthly report will reflect the start of the new fiscal year with budgets reset.

Playground Project

Johnson reported that the new playground at James Lee Park is complete and has been extremely well received by the community.

Highlights included:

- The playground is heavily used every day by local children.
- School groups were among the first to enjoy the new equipment immediately after construction.
- The project became the largest playground in Douglas County, making it the first playground in the county to require a building permit due to its size.
- After learning of the permit requirement, the district worked cooperatively with Douglas County to obtain the necessary approval.

Playground Inspection Certification

Johnson recognized Administrative Services Supervisor Brooke Thompson for securing a Pool PACT grant that fully funded staff training.

As a result:

- Parks employee Steve completed certification in playground inspection and maintenance.
- The grant covered tuition, testing, travel, lodging, rental car, and other expenses at no cost to the district.

Amador Street Project

Johnson reiterated that staff's priority throughout construction has been to complete the project correctly rather than simply minimize costs.

Examples included:

- Installing traffic-rated meter boxes where appropriate to avoid future maintenance expenses.
- Correcting undocumented utility locations and construction deficiencies.
- Updating records to ensure future projects have accurate information.
- Addressing unforeseen conditions as they arise rather than leaving problems for future generations.

He noted that while the project has encountered challenges, construction continues to progress well and is expected to be completed in July.

Grant Opportunities

Trustee Stulac asked for additional information regarding the Board goal of pursuing grant funding.

Minutes of the June 17, 2026, Regular Board of Trustees Meeting

Johnson explained that:

- Grant opportunities are continually monitored through numerous state and federal agencies rather than a single grant database.
- Monthly General Manager reports identify grants researched and pursued during that reporting period.
- Several grant opportunities reviewed during the year were ultimately not applicable to the district.
- Staff member Stacy regularly researches grant opportunities.
- Both Johnson and Stacy plan to attend a **University of Nevada, Reno (UNR)** grant-writing training program to improve the district's ability to pursue future funding.

Trustee Garcia commented that formal grant-writing training can significantly improve the success of grant applications.

Overall

Johnson concluded by noting that staff's primary focus has been completing the Amador Street project while continuing normal District operations. The Board acknowledged the progress made on major capital improvements, the successful completion of the new playground, and the ongoing effort to identify future grant funding opportunities.

1. Administrative
2. Water
3. Wastewater
4. Parks & Streets

b. District Accountant Report

c. Engineer Report

District Engineer Collin Sturge provided an update on the Amador Street Rehabilitation Project and other engineering activities.

Amador Street Rehabilitation Project

Sturge reported that the project has transitioned from underground utility work to surface improvements.

Current activities include:

- Completion of nearly all water and sewer utility work.
- Construction of sidewalks, curb and gutter, and other concrete improvements.
- Final paving will begin once the concrete work is completed.

He acknowledged that the concrete phase creates the greatest inconvenience for residents because driveway access is temporarily restricted but noted that construction has progressed well overall.

General Manager Chris Johnson added that:

- Resident impacts have been minimal considering the size of the project.

Minutes of the June 17, 2026, Regular Board of Trustees Meeting

- When concerns arise, staff and the contractor respond quickly. In one instance, after a resident reported limited notice about driveway access restrictions, the contractor immediately installed a temporary steel plate so the resident could access their driveway.

Project Budget and Schedule

Sturge reported that:

- The project completion date has shifted from the end of June to approximately the end of July.
- Despite the extended schedule, the construction budget remains on track at approximately \$3.67 million.
- About \$60,000 remains in the contractor's force account to address any additional unforeseen conditions.

Water Rights

Sturge has:

- Prepared change applications with the Nevada Division of Water Resources to transfer water rights within the district.
- Coordinated with District Counsel to verify the legal authority needed before completing those transfers.

Lead and Copper Rule

Sturge also:

- Prepared the engineering proposal addressing compliance with the EPA's Lead and Copper Rule.
- Coordinated with the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP) regarding the district's proposed compliance strategy.

Valley Vista 7 Development Agreement

Sturge worked with General Manager Chris Johnson and Douglas County regarding the renewal of the Valley Vista 7 Development Agreement.

Johnson explained that:

- Years earlier, the developer had offered the district ownership of a steep parcel containing a drainage channel.
- The district declined ownership because it did not wish to assume maintenance responsibilities for the property.
- As a result, maintenance of the drainage channel remains the responsibility of the subdivision through its homeowner's association under the development agreement.

Conclusion

Sturge concluded his report by stating that engineering efforts remain focused on completing the Amador Street Rehabilitation Project while continuing work on regulatory compliance, water rights administration, and development coordination. No further questions were raised by the Board.

d. Attorney Report

District Counsel Chuck Zumpft: Nothing to report.

Board Discussion Summary

During questions on the District Engineer's report, Trustee Garcia sought clarification on several engineering topics.

Traffic-Rated Water Meter Boxes

Trustee Garcia asked what a traffic-rated water meter box is and whether it is simply designed to withstand vehicles driving over it.

District Engineer Collin Sturge explained that:

- Meter boxes installed in driveways should meet an H-20 traffic rating, meaning they are designed to support vehicle loads without failing.
- Some existing meter boxes in the district lack this rating and are susceptible to damage when vehicles drive over them.
- The Amador project includes upgrading those meter boxes where necessary.

General Manager Chris Johnson added that he had not been familiar with traffic-rated meter boxes before this project, highlighting how the construction process has uncovered opportunities to improve the district's infrastructure.

Valley Vista 7 Development Agreement

Trustee Garcia also asked why the district was involved in the renewal of the Valley Vista 7 Development Agreement.

Sturge explained that:

- Douglas County requested the district's position during the renewal process.
- Neither he nor General Manager Johnson had been involved in the original agreement, so they reviewed the historical documentation.
- The district's recommendation was simply to leave the agreement unchanged, as it continues to assign drainage maintenance responsibilities appropriately.

Water Rights Update

General Manager Johnson provided additional information regarding the district's ongoing water rights work.

He explained that:

- Staff are proactively reviewing the water rights associated with the Jacks Valley School wells, which supply part of the district's upper pressure zone.
- Historical records regarding those wells contain uncertainties.
- The district is working now to ensure the appropriate water rights remain in place so the wells can continue serving customers without future legal or regulatory issues.

Minutes of the June 17, 2026, Regular Board of Trustees Meeting

Public comment: Resident Lynn Dement stated yes, to the administrative report. It mentioned the yard of the month is beginning. And I had mentioned at a prior board meeting that the gift card from Home Depot that we the GID provides to the winners is for \$10, and I had hoped that perhaps there could be some discussion among the board to help out a little bit, and maybe to make it \$15 for this year, just because \$10 is like, it's a nice recognition, but.

Before moving to the next agenda item, Trustee Stulac briefly discussed the upcoming Summer Kickoff community event with Administrative Services Supervisor Brooke Thompson.

- Trustee Stulac confirmed that Brooke had received his email and advised that he would be volunteering to help with barbecuing during the event.
- He also noted that his wife planned to attend despite recently undergoing foot surgery and would assist where she could.

Chairman Clark-Ross stated that she would be unable to attend the event due to a scheduling conflict with another commitment in Douglas County.

9. Discussion and possible action to approve Draft Minutes from the May 20, 2026, Board Meeting.

Public comment: none

Trustee Garcia motioned to approve Draft Minutes from the May 20, 2026, Board meeting. Chairman Clark-Ross seconded. Motion carried 4-0. Vice Chairman Jones was absent from the meeting.

10. Chairman and Trustees Reports, Correspondence

Under this item the Board Members will briefly identify relevant communications received by them before the meeting, or meetings attended, or potential business of the district. No action will be taken on any of these items, but a member may request such item or topic be placed on a future agenda.

Trustee Reports

- Trustee Garcia thanked General Manager Chris Johnson for promptly responding to a developing sinkhole around a manhole in his neighborhood. He appreciated the district's quick communication and proactive response.
- General Manager Johnson credited Water Supervisor Matt for addressing the issue and noted that he would pass along the recognition.
- Secretary/Treasurer Siegman reported that he had no additional comments.

Summer Kickoff Event

The Board briefly discussed the upcoming Summer Kickoff community event.

- Chairman Clark-Ross confirmed the event would be held on June 27 and noted that several trustees and volunteers, including Trustee Stulac and Trustee Garcia, would be helping at the event.

Minutes of the June 17, 2026, Regular Board of Trustees Meeting

- General Manager Johnson confirmed the event was scheduled for the following Saturday.
- Chairman Clark-Ross recalled that the previous year's event was unusually cold and expressed hope that the weather would be better this year.
- Brooke Thompson joked that if the weather turned cold again, it would be the Chairman's fault.
- Staff also recalled that despite the cold temperatures, children enthusiastically participated in activities, including duct tape crafts, even while bundled up against the weather.

11. Adjournment

Trustee Garcia motioned to adjourn the meeting. Secretary/Treasurer Seigman seconded. Motion carried 4-0. Vice Chairman Jones was absent from the meeting.

Meeting adjourned at 7:37PM

FINAL APPROVED MINUTES AS PRESENTED

**Secretary/Treasurer
Russ Siegman**